**Case # 1**

Accuracy: 9

Completeness : 9

Consistency: 10

Feedback: Accuracy and Completeness were scored 9 as there were some key items that were missed in the subjective part (e.g. left knee swelling and testicular swelling in the past). Another is erythema was only mentioned on R eye by the model but on the dialogue it also exists on the L eye but lesser.

Under Plan, blood culture, imaging, and biopsy were not stated during dialogue but was stated by the model. This gives more detailed info which were not discussed. Referral to a specialist was mentioned in the conversation but did not specifically stated “rheumatologist” to the patient.

Consistency is 10 as it follows the SOAP format.

Case # 2

Accuracy: 8

Completeness: 8

Consistency: 8

Feedback: A & C were scored 8 as the procedure was not taken place yet but it seems that procedure was performed during the dialogue (wrong grammatical tense) and some key points (e.g. position of the patient during procedure wasn’t mentioned, pin electrodes needs to be placed during procedure, rolled up gauze that will act as bite block, specific size of electrode was only for CN X not to all cranial nerves) which I think is necessary.

Consistency is 8 as some key points should be under Objective and some should be under plan section. The detailed procedure should be part of the plan not under Objective and assessment. In addition the plan should start first with the purpose of the surgery then followed by the detailed process of the procedure.

Case # 3

Accuracy: 10

Completeness: 9

Consistency: 10

Feedback:

Under completeness it was scored 9 as the detailed analysis to confirm the presence of MTC was missing.

Case # 4

Accuracy: 10

Completeness: 9.5

Consistency: 10

Feedback:

Completeness is 9.5 as 6 months of chemo wasn’t stated (for me it’s vital).

Under Plan, referral to a neurologist was not mentioned during the dialogue but was stated by the model.

Case #5

Accuracy: 10 though there was redundancy on the objective part (e.g. Tx with pancreatic enzyme, ABx and fluid were mentioned twice).

Completeness: 9.5 the model stated consult

to GI and hepatologist but not mentioned during patient and doctor dialogue.

Consistency: 10